GROWTH OF DISTORTION-PRODUCT OTOACOUSTIC EMISSIONS IN A NONLINEAR, ACTIVE MODEL OF COCHLEAR MECHANICS

S. T. NEELY, M. P. GORGA AND P. A. DORN
Boys Town National Research Hospital, 555 North 30 Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68131
E-mail: neely@boystown.org

Evidence of compressive growth of basilar membrane displacement can be observed in measurements of DPOAE. When the levels of the two stimulus tones are related by the formula \( L_1 = 0.4 L_2 + 39 \), then the DPOAE I/O function resembles the classic Fletcher-Munson (1933) loudness function. In order to understand how the DPOAE I/O function relates to the perception of loudness, we need to also understand how each of these response measures relates to basilar membrane displacement. The model of cochlear mechanics described here provides a means to directly compare the rate of growth of these three response measures.

1 Introduction

The rate of growth of distortion-product otoacoustic emission (DPOAE) level when stimulus levels are optimized [2,3] is remarkably similar to log-loudness [1]. One salient feature in each case is the compressive growth observed at moderate stimulus levels. The compressive growth of basilar membrane (BM) displacement is thought to be the underlying reason for the compressive growth observed in the other two response measures [4]. In this paper, we use a nonlinear, active, two-dimensional model of cochlear mechanics to directly compare the rate of growth of DPOAE level, BM displacement, and (simulated) log-loudness in the same ear.

2 Model

It has become increasingly clear in recent years that the mechanics of the BM, although highly nonlinear, can often be represented by a linear model of the following form [5,6,7].

\[
Z_a = Z_p + \gamma Z_a
\]  

(1)

In this equation, \( Z_p \) is the passive impedance, observed at high stimulus levels or post mortem, \( Z_a \) is the active impedance that plays a major role at low stimulus levels, \( \gamma \) is a parameter that decreases from one at the lowest stimulus level to zero at the highest stimulus level, and \( Z_b \) is the combined impedance of the basilar membrane (BM). We can implement a time-domain version of the impedance in Eq. (1) by using the following set of differential equations.
Equations (2) - (4) represent the micromechanics of the model at each position along the cochlear partition. Equation (2) describes motion of the BM. Equation (3) describes motion of a second mechanical degree-of-freedom (DOF) that is coupled to the BM. The variable $\xi_c$ is the difference between the two DOFs. Equation (4) describes outer hair cell (OHC) motility, which is driven by BM displacement and exerts a force on the second DOF. When all of the coefficients of these differential equations are constants, the ratio of fluid pressure $P_f$ to BM velocity $\xi_b$ is proportional to BM impedance $Z_{m}$ and can be expressed in the form of Eq. (1). An electrical-analog equivalent of the micromechanics is shown in Figure (1).

In previous work [9], we have used $\xi_c$ as the input to OHC motility and associated this variable with the shear-displacement between the reticular lamina (RL) and tectorial membrane (TM). We have discovered that this previous formulation of the differential equations produced BM impedance that was incompatible with Eq. (1). We have adopted $\xi_c$ as the input to OHC motility in the present work in order to preserve certain intensity-invariant properties that are

\[
M_{b} \ddot{\xi}_{b} + R_{b} \dot{\xi}_{b} + K_{b} \xi_{b} + g_{r} R_{c} \dot{\xi}_{c} + g_{r} K_{c} \xi_{c} = -A_{p} P_{f} \tag{2}
\]

\[
M_{c} \ddot{\xi}_{c} + R_{c} \dot{\xi}_{c} + K_{c} \xi_{c} - g_{r} R_{c} \dot{\xi}_{c} - g_{r} K_{c} \xi_{c} = -g_{c} \dot{\xi}_{b} \tag{3}
\]

\[
C_{m} \ddot{V}_{m} + G_{m} V_{m} = \gamma g_{f} \xi_{b} \tag{4}
\]

Figure 1. Electrical-analog circuit representing cochlear micromechanics that includes two mechanical resonances and an OHC feedback force. The electrical current through the OHC is proportional to BM displacement at low levels, but saturates at high levels. The OHC feedback force is proportional to voltage across its membrane.
associated with Eq. (1) [7]. The physical interpretation of this representation is that the shear displacement, which provides the input to both inner and outer hair cells, is proportional to BM displacement.

When the coefficient $\gamma$ is a function of BM displacement, the micromechanics become nonlinear. In the present work, we chose the following equation for $\gamma$ to simulate the saturation of OHC mechano-electric transduction.

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \left(1 + \frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{d_0}{d_s}\right)^2\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$$

Because this is the only nonlinear element in the model, it is directly responsible for both the generation of DPOAEs and the loss of gain (i.e. compression) at high stimulus levels.

One other difference between the present model and previous work is a two-dimensional (2-D) representation of the cochlear fluid. Much of our previous work utilized a one-dimensional (1-D) fluid for computational convenience. We discovered that we were able to achieve larger “cochlear amplifier” gains with the 2-D fluid than were possible with the 1-D fluid. In this paper we use 351 points in the longitudinal dimension and 8 points in the other dimension to represent the 2-D cochlear fluid.

3 Model Results

Model parameters were chosen to represent salient features of the human cochlea. Consideration was given to the shape and overall sensitivity of BM displacements as well as middle-ear (forward and reverse) transmission. Our best attempt, presented here, still falls short of our goal of achieving a good representation of all aspects of cochlear mechanics.

3.1 Basilar membrane displacement

Model results for 1-nm displacement of the BM at four places along the cochlear partition are shown in the Fig. 2A. These tuning curves were derived from impulse responses from a linear, active version of the model, produced by setting $\gamma = 1$. The Q values for these tuning curves are typical of neural tuning curves in cat and the group delays (not shown) for the best frequency at each place are approximately equal to estimates derived from SFOAE measurements in human ears [8]. Fig. 2B shows the growth of BM displacement at the 4-kHz place as a function of the sound pressure level of a 4-kHz tone.
3.2 Distortion-product otoacoustic emission

In Fig. 3, DPOAE levels observed in the model are compared with measurements in human subjects [9]. Stimulus conditions were the same in both cases: $F_1 = 4$ kHz, $F_2 = 3.32$ kHz, and $L_1 = 0.4 - L_2 + 39$. Fig. 3A shows DPOAE levels for three groups of human subjects with differing amounts of hearing loss, (1) 20 dB or less, (2) 25 to 35 dB, and (3) 40 to 50 dB. Note that the DPOAE threshold and rate of growth both increase with hearing loss. In the model, setting the parameter $\gamma_0$ to a value less than one simulates hearing loss. Fig. 3B shows the DPOAE level for two model conditions: (1) $\gamma_0 = 1$ and (2) $\gamma_0 = 0.5$. Although, the DPOAE level in the model is roughly the same as in the human ears, the growth rate differs. We will return to this issue later.
3.3 Whole-nerve response

To simulate a whole-nerve (WN) response in the model we apply a soft rectifier to the BM displacement at each position [to represent inner hair cell (IHC) transduction] and sum across the entire length of the BM. In Fig. 4, we compare the model WN response (for a 4-kHz tone) with an empirical estimate of perceptual loudness (for a 1-kHz tone) [1]. An arbitrary scale factor was applied to the WN values to make them similar to the loudness values. The curves in Fig. 4 show the logarithm (base 10) of the WN and loudness values. The good agreement in the slope of the log-WN and log-loudness at low levels (thick and thin solid lines) is a consequence of expansive growth in the IHC soft rectifier. At moderate levels the IHC stage is linear and the BM growth is compressive. Model results for WN are shown for three model conditions: (1) $\gamma = 1$ to simulate normal hearing, (2) $\gamma = 0.5$ to simulate a mild hearing loss (with a threshold shift of about 22 dB), and (3) $\gamma = 0$ to simulate a moderate hearing loss (with a threshold shift of about 36 dB).

![Figure 4. Loudness and WN response as a function of stimulus level.](image)

Figure 4. Loudness and WN response as a function of stimulus level. The thin line shows loudness growth based on the measurements of Fletcher and Munson [1]. The thick lines show the model WN response for the normal case and two hearing-impaired cases with threshold shifts of 22 and 36 dB.

3.4 Compression

To compare the rates of growth of BM, DPOAE, and WN, we have computed the compression of each of the respective I/O curves. We define compression as the reciprocal of the slope of the I/O curve. For the BM and DPOAE I/O curves, compression is the dB increase in stimulus level divided by the dB increase in response level. For the WN curve, we divided the dB increase in stimulus level by the increase in $10 \cdot \log_{10}$ of the WN value. Figure 5 compares the compression of the model BM, DPOAE and WN responses. Note that the BM growth is linear at low levels when $\gamma = 1$ and linear at all levels when $\gamma = 0$. This can be seen in the slopes of the I/O curves in Fig. 2B and is indicated by a compression value of one.
in Fig. 5A. The compression of the WN response is less than one at 0 dB SPL due to the expansive non-linearity of the IHC soft-rectifier. In the case of moderate hearing loss ($\gamma_0 = \gamma_s = 0$), the region of expansive growth is extended to about 50 dB SPL. The rate of growth of the WN response is approximately the same at threshold for differing amounts of hearing loss because it is mostly determined by the properties of IHC transduction. Fig. 5B shows the compression of the BM and DPOAE responses when the stimulus consists of two tones. The monotonic results are undesirable and may be partly due to mismatched impedance at the stapes boundary of the cochlear model that causes excessive basal reflection.

### 4 Discussion

The cochlear model described here is sufficiently comprehensive to simulate BM, DPOAE, and WN responses simultaneously. In the current version of the model, the single tone responses appear to exhibit realistic response growth as observed in the BM and WN responses. However, the BM and DPOAE responses to two-tone stimuli do not yet appear to be realistic and require further investigation. The observation in the model that the rate of WN growth is nearly independent of amount of hearing loss is consistent with recent measures of the rate of growth of loudness in normal and hearing-impaired subjects [10]. This result is a direct consequence of linear BM growth at threshold, regardless of the amount of hearing loss.
Acknowledgments

Supported by a grant (DC02251) from the National Institutes of Health.

References